

# Airspace Infringements: review and actions process

CAP 1404



Published by the Civil Aviation Authority, 2021

Civil Aviation Authority Aviation House Beehive Ring Road Crawley West Sussex RH6 0YR

You can copy and use this text but please ensure you always use the most up to date version and use it in context so as not to be misleading, and credit the CAA.

First published 2016 Second edition July 2017 Third edition December 2018 Fourth edition April 2021

The latest version of this document is available in electronic format at: www.caa.co.uk/CAP1404

# Contents

Contents 3
Introduction4
Working within a Just Culture5
Why is CAP1404 needed?6
Airspace infringement reporting7
Pilot reporting
Infringement review
Infringement Co-ordination Group (ICG) 10
Evaluation considerations 11
Infringement scale 12
Minor infringement
Intermediate infringement 12
Major infringement 12
Remedial actions available 13
Overview
Online tutorial and test
Airspace Infringements Awareness Course (AIAC) 13
Refresher training at a pilot training organisation
Provisional suspension of a pilot's licence
Referral for investigation
Lessons identified 16
Annex 1

# Introduction

An airspace infringement (AI) is the unauthorised entry of an aircraft into notified airspace. This includes controlled airspace, prohibited and restricted airspace, active danger areas, aerodrome traffic zones, radio mandatory zones and transponder mandatory zones.

The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) recognises the level of complexity of UK airspace and understands that there are many causal factors as to why an airspace infringement may occur; every infringement, however, has the possibility to cause a significant risk to the safety of aircraft. Under Section 3 of the Civil Aviation Act 1982 the safety of air navigation and aircraft are statutory functions of the CAA which are intended to keep pilots and the general public safe. The CAA has an obligation to minimise the possibility of an infringement occurring, and for all parties to learn from previous events.

The CAA receives on average 1100 MORs (Mandatory Occurrence Report) and Alleged Breaches of Air Navigation Legislation (ABANL) relating to Airspace Infringement per annum. The reviews into those reports result in (on average) 68% of pilots receiving educational material, 22% undertaking some computer-based training (online tutorial or AIAC), 6% undertaking additional flight training. Whilst (on average) 4% of pilots may become subject to temporary Provisional Suspension of their licence due to the severity of the occurrence, recent infringement record or if the CAA cannot verify that the pilot meets the requirements to hold a licence or is not satisfied that the pilot has the skills, knowledge or competence to fly; less than 0.4% of infringements result in prosecution, and less than 0.025% result in full licence suspension/revocation. Only 5% of those having infringed then re-infringe, demonstrating the value of the Just Culture process.

# Working within a Just Culture

The CAA promotes a Just Culture, in which people are treated fairly and are not punished for actions, omissions or decisions taken by them that are commensurate with their experience and training. The aim of a Just Culture is to promote continuous learning from previous mistakes and to encourage pilots to openly and freely share essential safety related information.

Civil aviation safety is sustained by a culture which fosters and facilitates the reporting of occurrences and advances the principles of a Just Culture. The CAA recognises that Just Culture is an essential element of a broader safety culture, which forms the basis of a robust safety management system. The CAA embraces safety culture principles, including Just Culture, and notes that outcomes from this and similar processes result, in the large majority of cases, in purely educational or training activities. However, to maintain or improve aviation safety in cases of, for example, gross negligence, wilful violations and destructive acts, further action may be necessary.

When addressing safety-related issues within a Just Culture, it is vital that investigations and decisions are fair and timely and that all reasonable efforts are made by the CAA to decide upon remedial actions **without delay**.

Commensurate with that principle:

- the CAA will share with the pilot all details which will be taken into account. If the
  pilot requires a copy of the material, they will be advised how to request the
  information from 3<sup>rd</sup> parties and to submit an SRG1605 for a copy of the occurrence
  report;
- the pilot may comment on such material and is invited to submit additional information where it has become available;
- the CAA will clearly communicate to the pilot the rationale for the decision, including material relied upon;
- any remedial actions notified in the decision will be underpinned by the same principle of 'without delay';
- timescales for response to CAA requests for additional information will be clearly shown in relevant correspondence; and,
- Where the CAA has made a substantive decision on a pilot's licence, we will notify the affected pilot of their entitlement to have the decision reviewed in accordance with Regulation 6 of the Civil Aviation Regulations 1991. Details can be found at: <u>General Appeals Process - Section 6 of CAP393</u>

# Why is CAP1404 needed?

The aim of CAP1404 is to clearly outline how the CAA deals with an AI report and make it transparent to those who are going through, or may go through, the process.

There are many reasons as to why an AI may occur and work to understand these causes are researched by the CAA every year. The reasons behind, and the severity of, an AI sits on a scale and there is no single solution to cover each infringement; that is why there are numerous outcomes to an AI being reported - from no action, through to the request for further training and, in extreme cases, provisional suspension of a licence. With a Just Culture in place, each outcome aims to enhance the knowledge and capability of the pilot and/or to ensure both the pilot and the public are kept safe.

The purpose of the CAA's AI review and actions process is to improve safety by ensuring that reported infringements are reviewed and assessed in a consistent way and, if an infringement is found to have occurred, to identify appropriate remedial actions to prevent reoccurrence.

# Airspace infringement reporting

The CAA receives reports of AI from a number of sources, primarily air navigation service providers (**ANSP**s), such as the controlling authority for the airspace in question. Following a reported infringement, one of two complementary courses of action may be followed:

**Report submission -** The reporter submits a Mandatory Occurrence Report (**MOR**) to the CAA, detailing the apparent infringement. Separately, and in extremis, where the reporter deems the circumstances dictate, it may also decide to submit a CA939 or 'Alleged Breach of Air Navigation Legislation (ABANL)' report to the CAA's Investigations and Enforcement Team.

**ANSP procedures -** An ANSP's safety management system will often include its own investigation and follow-up procedure. This may include requesting the pilot of the reported aircraft to complete a questionnaire as part of a two-way learning exercise. The information obtained from the questionnaire is shared with the CAA. The questionnaire serves to understand the pilot's side of the event, and to help identify trends in causal factors that may aid others in preventing infringement. It also helps to identify, where appropriate, training or interventions that may be required to prevent a re-occurrence.

# Pilot reporting

Occurrence reporting in the UK is governed by law and promotes Just Culture principles. The purpose of occurrence reporting is to allow the aviation community to learn from events and reduce the probability of reoccurrence.

Pilots of UK Part 21 aircraft (formally categorised as EASA Annex II aircraft) are required to submit a Mandatory Occurrence Report (MOR) following an airspace infringement.

For aircraft that are classified as UK Non-Part 21 (formally categorised as EASA Annex I), occurrence reporting remains voluntary. However pilots are strongly encouraged to submit an MOR (or complete the questionnaire) to enable the CAA to carry out a full, independent review of the event and to understand if future infringements similar to the reported occurrence could be prevented. The MOR allows the reporter to share their account, including causal and contributory factors

#### The online questionnaire can be accessed at

<u>https://airspacesafety.com/infringement/infringement-form/</u>. Pilots are encouraged to provide as much information as possible. Both the MOR and pilot infringement questionnaire provide valuable insight into the reasons why an infringement happened and helps us make informed decisions to protect all airspace users in the future.

# Infringement review

All reports of airspace infringements received by the CAA are initially reviewed and evaluated according to Causal factors and:

- 1. Safety impact (e.g. loss of separation, activation of ground/airborne collision avoidance tools, avoiding action turns given by air traffic control to aircraft under their control);
- 2. Applied safety intervention measures (e.g. departing aircraft held on the runway, arriving aircraft vectored from their planned track or delayed in holding patterns) as a safety barrier to prevent a mid-air collision or loss of separation;
- 3. Previous infringement(s) by the pilot. A previous infringement will only be considered relevant if the related CAA decision on education/retraining requirements for that previous event sits within a period of 2 years of the reported date of the current event.

# Infringement Co-ordination Group (ICG)

A reported infringement which displays any number of the preceding 3 characteristics is referred to the CAA ICG.

The ICG is a multi-disciplinary team from across the CAA, comprising staff from the General Aviation Unit (GAU), the Investigations and Enforcement Team, Airspace Regulation, Air Traffic Management and Aerodromes (AAA), Pilot Licensing Policy, Safety Performance & Risk and Flight Operations. It also includes representation from the MoD's aviation regulator, the Military Aviation Authority (MAA).

The ICG reviews reported AIs using all available material, including information submitted by the airspace controlling authority, information submitted by the pilot and any previous relevant records held by the CAA. The ICG assesses the severity of the incident and determines what, if any, remedial measures are appropriate and proportionate to prevent a recurrence.

A flow chart outlining the process is at Annex 1.

# **Evaluation considerations**

- Can the ICG confirm an infringement actually took place?
- Was radar separation lost?
- What, if any, safety implementation measures were applied, e.g. avoiding action issued, aircraft broken-off approach, aircraft instructed to enter a hold or aircraft departures stopped on the ground?
- What were the airspace structures and complexity?
- What were the prevailing flight conditions?
- What pre-flight planning did the pilot do?
- Was a transponder installed, was it used and was Mode C selected?
- Did the pilot use a Frequency Monitoring Code and, if so, did they respond to radio calls?
- Did the pilot ask for help?
- Did the pilot use a VFR Moving Map?
- What licence and ratings does the pilot hold?
- What was the pilot's level of experience?
- Has the pilot infringed airspace before?
- Does the incident suggest that the pilot's skills are deficient?
- What is the pilot's attitude towards and understanding of the event?
- Has the pilot subsequently undertaken any remedial training?
- Are there any circumstances not listed above?

# Infringement scale

Having assessed the circumstances of the reported infringement and the consequences, if any, the ICG determines if an infringement occurred. If it confirms the case, they will then consider what actions, if any, are appropriate and proportionate. There are a number of potential outcomes available which can be used where it is deemed appropriate. ALL outcomes will be assessed in light of extenuating circumstances. The following example outcomes are representational but are not limited:

#### Minor infringement

For example, an AI which did not compromise flight safety or require the implementation of safety intervention measures, or where the pilot has not previously infringed.

 Usual minimum CAA action: closure letter with education material or pilot required to undertake the online tutorial and test or, attend the Airspace Infringements Awareness Course.

#### Intermediate infringement

For example, an AI which did not compromise flight safety, but where the pilot has previously infringed, or an airspace infringement which required the implementation of safety intervention measures.

• Usual minimum CAA action: pilot required to undertake the online tutorial/test, or attend the Airspace Infringements Awareness Course

#### Major infringement

For example, an AI that compromised flight safety or the pilot has previously infringed on more than one occasion.

 Usual minimum CAA action: pilot required to attend the Airspace Infringements Awareness Course, and/or to attend a training organisation for remedial training, as may be determined by the CAA Flight Examiner member of the ICG. Immediate provisional suspension of the pilot's licence may be necessary.

# Remedial actions available

#### Overview

The ICG determines which action(s) is/are proportionate to facilitate the appropriate level of education and/or re-training to avoid recurrence.

Successful completion of a remedial measure will usually conclude the process, unless the pilot is subject to other action by the CAA. The remedial measure and outcome will be recorded on the pilot's CAA licence.

If a pilot fails to successfully complete or declines to participate in any of the measures recommended or required of them, the ICG will review the case and decide on which, if any, further remedial measure or licensing action is appropriate. Licensing actions include provisional suspension, suspension, limitation or revocation of a pilot's licence.

At any time, a pilot may request to discuss the process with the CAA's Airspace Infringement Team to gain a detailed understanding of the infringement and the ICG's decision-making process. A request can be made to <u>mor.responses@caa.co.uk</u>

#### Online tutorial and test

The online tutorial contains knowledge expected of a competent pilot; it aims to refresh and then test understanding of core knowledge and airmanship. Pilots will be provided with log-in details. The tutorial can be viewed at. <u>http://infringements.caa.co.uk/</u>.

The test comprises 20 questions to be completed in 15 minutes. The questions are drawn from information included in the online tutorial, the wider Airspace and Safety Initiative website, and basic airspace and aviation knowledge required to obtain a flight crew licence.

A full report on the questions asked and test results will be made available to the pilot at the time of completing the test.

#### Airspace Infringements Awareness Course (AIAC)

The AIAC is a half-day course run by CAA-approved organisations and delivered by video conferencing. The course is designed to support the pilot in learning how and why the infringement happened and highlights skills and training that prevent reoccurrence. Successful completion of the course entails: provision of required identification to the course provider; timely arrival; completion of each programmed element; full participation. Pilots are required to cover the cost of their attendance.

### Refresher training at a pilot training organisation

A minimum number of hours of refresher training will be prescribed and must be completed at a pilot training organisation. Training may be flight training, theoretical training or a combination of both. Completion of the training must be documented by the relevant Head of Training or Chief Flying Instructor where the training was completed, with written confirmation of the topics covered and time spent on each topic provided to the CAA. A record of the training should also be retained by the pilot training organisation and may be subject to audit or inspection. The CAA may specify where the training is to be undertaken in order that the pilot obtains maximum benefit from the training. Refresher training is conducted at the pilot's expense.

#### Provisional suspension of a pilot's licence

The CAA is authorised under Article 254 of the Air Navigation Order 2016 to provisionally suspend a licence that it has issued. A provisional suspension is not a substantive decision of the CAA, it is a preliminary step taken whilst we are considering what, if any, further action is required before a substantive decision can be made.

The CAA may, and sometimes must, provisionally suspend a pilot's licence or associated certificates or ratings such as a Flight Instructor or Flight Examiner certificate if it cannot verify that the pilot meets the requirements to hold a licence or is not satisfied that the pilot has the skills, knowledge or competence to fly. The ICG may propose a provisional suspension of a pilot's licence at any point in the process and a decision whether or not to provisionally suspend will be made by the CAA's Head of AAA or nominated deputy. Normally, pilot licences will be provisionally suspended when a pilot declines to undertake a remedial measure or fails a remedial measure. A pilot will be informed of a provisional suspension in writing and by telephone.

A provisional suspension will usually be removed when the pilot has successfully completed one of the remedial measures described below; this may not be the case if the pilot is subject to a criminal investigation by the CAA.

# Referral for investigation

The ICG may refer an ABANL report of intermediate or major severity to the Investigations and Enforcement Team within the CAA for a criminal investigation.

## Lessons identified

Lessons identified will be shared with the subject pilot.

Lessons identified will be anonymised and shared with Local Airspace Infringement Teams (LAIT) and the Airspace Infringement Working Group (AIWG). The Questionnaire previously referenced may also be used to inform LAIT and the AIWG of causal and human factors in addition to significant trends.

There are eight LAITs established at major infringement locations who meet on a quarterly cycle to discuss and implement local measures to reduce airspace infringements. LAIT membership comprises representatives from the airport and ANSP, the CAA, GASCo, A4A, airlines, local aerodromes and local flying schools and flying groups. The 8 LAITS are:

- Gatwick LAIT,
- London LAIT (for Heathrow and London City Airports),
- Luton LAIT,
- Midlands LAIT (for Birmingham and East Midlands Airports),
- Northwest LAIT (for Barton and Hawarden Aerodromes and Liverpool and Manchester Airports),
- Stansted LAIT,
- Wessex LAIT (for Bournemouth, Farnborough and Southampton Airports), and
- Yorkshire and Humberside LAIT (for Doncaster Sheffield, Humberside and Leeds Bradford Airports).

The AIWG is a cross-industry group comprising representatives from the main GA associations, the CAA, ANSP, GASCo, airport bodies and the MOD. This Group meets quarterly and develops and implements a national strategy to reduce airspace infringements.

The CAA publishes data on the <u>Airspace and Safety Initiative</u> website (airspacesafety.com) showing the following anonymised statistical data:

- Closure letters sent.
- Online tests taken, passed and failed.
- Awareness Courses successfully completed and not completed.
- Refresher training completed at a pilot training organisation.
- Provisional suspensions of licences.

- Other enforcement activity, e.g. prosecutions.
- Type of airspace infringed.
- Monthly statistics of reported infringements broken down by airspace type.
- Monthly outcomes / decisions taken by the ICG.
- An annual independent analysis of Causal Factors (written independently by members of the AIWG)

# Annex 1

